to the Freedom of Information Office (A-101), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such requests should: (1) Identify the product name and registration number and (2) specify the data or information desired.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136.

### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests, Product registration. Dated: December 22, 1997.

#### Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 98–109 Filed 1-2-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5946-4]

Notice of Proposed Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative cost recovery settlement under Section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA concerning the Daly Drum Service site in Rockford, IL, which was signed by the Superfund Division Director, Region 5. The settlement resolves an EPA claim under Section 107(a) of CERCLA against Barber-Colman Co., Chrysler Corp., Commonwealth Edison Co., Dayton Superior Corp., Deere & Co., Del Monte Foods, Illinois Oil Products, Inc., Iowa Oil Co., Kelly Springfield Tire Co., Lenz Oil Co., Madison-Kipp Corp., Milport Chemical Co., Newell Window Furnishings Co., Potter Form & Tie Co., Rock Valley Oil & Chemical Co., Sundstrand Corp., Viking Chemical Co., and Witco Corp. The settlement requires the settling parties to pay \$242,450 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to

the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the Records Center (7th floor), 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before February 4, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at the Records Center (7th floor), 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Alan Walts, Assistant Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. Comments should reference the Daly Drum Service site in Rockford, Illinois and should be addressed to Alan Walts, Assistant Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson Blvd. (Mail Code C-14J), Chicago, IL 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alan Walts, Assistant Regional Counsel, at (312) 353–8894.

Dated: December 23, 1997.

#### Wendy Carney,

Acting Director, Superfund Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5. [FR Doc. 98–113 Filed 1–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

December 24, 1997

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the

information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before February 4, 1998. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judy Boley at 202–418–0214 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0411.

*Title:* Procedures for Formal Complaints Filed Against Common Carriers.

Form No.: FCC Form 485.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households; businesses or other for profit; not-for-profit institutions; federal government; state, local, or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 5,345. Estimated Time Per Response: Ranges from .50 to 5.0 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping requirement; on occasion reporting requirement; and third party disclosure.

Cost to Respondents: \$57,000. Total Annual Burden: 11,026 hours.

Needs and Uses: The Report and Order, CC Docket 96–238, addresses provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 that necessitates changes to the rules for formal complaints filed against common carriers. Information filed pursuant to 47 CFR 1.720 et seq. is provided either with or in response to a formal complaint to determine whether or not there has been a violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, or the Commission's Rules or Orders. Affected respondents are complainants and potential defendant common carriers.